

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/642,376	KUCHINSKY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Navneet K. Ahluwalia	2166	

**All Participants:**(1) Navneet K. Ahluwalia.**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Allan W. Cannon (Reg.No 34,977).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 September 2006**Time:** 5:00pm**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

1-3,20- 22, 30,32, 38,49,61, 63, 65 - 66, 68

Prior art documents discussed:

*None***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
MOHAMMAD ALI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The interview was conducted to resolve 101 issues and significant amendments were considered that placed the application in condition for allowance. Elements of claims 2 and 3 were incorporated into claim 1 and parallel changes were made for all the other independent claims. The amendments made overcome the Double Patenting Rejection.